Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—May I know whether you have made discrimination while promoting them and in respect of non-teaching Hindi staff, promotion is held up ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—It is not held up.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Question Hour is over. Now Call Attention Notice.

CALL ATTENTION NOTICE TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

Sri B. B. SAYANAK(Belgaum).—I call attention of the Minister for Health and Housing to the death occurred in Sambre in Belgaum Taluk due to small-pox.

†Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The infection of small-pox occurred in Sambre village on 12th January 1968 (Belgaum Taluk) with six attacks. So far, there have been 12 attacks and 3 deaths as reported by the District Health and Family Planning Officer, Belgaum. The population of the village is 4,546. Prompt investigation has been done and immediate action has been taken to control the infection. So far 2,893 vaccinations have been done in the village. In addition brisk vaccination programme has been taken up in all the neighbouring villages. The infection is under control now.

Members' Representations

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ಕಳೆದ ಎರಡು ದಿವಸಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ದೇಶದ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಖಿಲಭಾರತ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಪದವಿಯನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಎರಡು ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ದುರುಪಯೋಗ ಎಷ್ಟರಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಿನ್ನೆ ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಈ ಎರಡು ದಿವಸದಿಂದ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಈ ಮಹತ್ವದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ನಡೆದಿದೆ. ನಿನ್ನೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮುಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಮ್ಮ ನಿಲುವು ಏನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನಮಗೆ ಎಳ್ಳಷ್ಟೂ ಕೂಡ ಸಮಾಧಾನಕರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಪುನಃ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ದುರುಪಯೋಗ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಮುಂದುವರಿದರೆ ನಾವು ಮುಂದೆ ಏನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯವರು ಮಾಡದೇ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ—ಮುಂದೆ ಅವರು ಈ ಎರಡು ಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪೈಕಿ ಒಂದನ್ನು ಅಲಂಕರಿಸದೆ ಎರಡರಲ್ಲೂ ಮುಂದುವರಿದರೆ ಈ ಹೋರಾಟ ಮುಂದುವರಿಯುತ್ತದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಹಂತದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವಾಗ ಯಾವರೀತಿ ನಡೆಯಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ, ಆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಇದೆ. ಈ ಹೋರಾಟವನ್ನು ಮುಂದುವರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಯೋ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಹೋರಾಟವನ್ನು ಮುಂದುವರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಶಾಸನಸಭೆ ಮುಗಿಯುವುದರೊಳಗೆ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳವರು ತಮ್ಮ ನಿಲುವನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ದೇಶಕ್ಕೂ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು, ಅವರಿಗೂ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು ಎಂಬ ಒಂದು ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಮುಂದೆ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಾಧವಾದ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳು ಇರುವ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಈ ಎರಡು ದಿವಸದಿಂದ ನಡೆದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಚರ್ಚೆಯನ್ನು ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಮುಕ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆ ಮುಗಿಯುವುದರೊಳಗೆ ಅವರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ, ಅವರು ಏನು ನಿಲುವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಹೋರಾಟ ನಿಂತಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಈ ಚರ್ಚೆಯನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್ (ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ).—ನನ್ನ ಎಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ತಾವೇನು ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I have admitted it, I will take it up.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಈಗ ತಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದಲ್ಲಾ ?

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಹಾಗೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ನೆನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ತಾವೇ ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ, ಈ ದಿವಸ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳೋಣ ಎಂದು.....

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್ (ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ).—ನಾವು ಐದಾರು ಜನರು ಸೇರಿ ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆವು. ಅದರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವೇನಾಯಿತು ?

2-0 P.M.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The House has not granted leave.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR (Hubli).—I want to know whether it is admitted in the first instance.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—It has been admitted.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ನಾವು 5—6 ಜನರು ಸೇರಿ ಒಂದು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆವು ಅದರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಏನಾಯಿತು ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I have not looked into it.

Sri DIGAMBAR RAO B. KALMANKAR (Aland).—I have given a calling attention motion regarding the strike that is continued by the Ayurvedic students.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will look into it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ (ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಎದ್ದು ನಿಂತು ನಿಂತು ಸಾಕಾಯಿತು. ಕಡೆಗೆ ನಾನು ಕುರ್ಚಿಯನ್ನು ಹತ್ತಿ ನಿಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವುದು. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ನೆನ್ನೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತ 'ಉಚ್ಚೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನು ಹಿಡಿದಂತೆ'—ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಾವು ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಿರಿ. ಅದರ ವಿಚಾರ ಏನಾಯಿತು ?

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಮುಂದೆ ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—When is the time allotted for discussion of my adjournment motion ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Law and Parliamentary Affairs).—So far as the adjournment motion is concerned, the leave of the House will have to be taken. If twenty members stand, then leave will be granted and it will be taken up on some other day.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—That is not necessary. It is already admitted. The question whether it will be taken up immediately or later on, is to be ascertained now.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Let the hon. member see the rules. After the Speaker has given the consent, the hon' member must take the leave of the House.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—I have seen the rules.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ನೆನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಅಡ್ಮಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲಾ ?

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—Under rule 50 read with rule 29 (2) I have submitted that notice. The Chair has already said that the notice has been admitted. Now, I may be given the opportunity of opening the debate on this particular subject. Sir, then I refer to Rule 21 (3) Since, you have already stated that it has been admitted, I may be permitted to speak on this particular subject.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Sir, the rule is very clear. Admission means that you have given the constent. Now, leave of the House is very necessary to move the Adjournment Motion. The Hon'ble member may make a statement if he likes or the alternative for the Chair is to see that the leave is granted or refused.

Sri T. R. SHAMANNA (Bangalore-Fort).—Let the opinion of the House be taken.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—It arises when it is objected to, by any particular member.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—There is an objection.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—Let him raise it. Without raising an objection, it says that leave is necessary.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Of course, this question has not come before the House, because you have not put the question to the House saying whether leave is granted or not. If any objection is taken, then a certain number of persons will have to stand in favour of the resolution. Then only it will be allowed. I want to tell the Hon'ble member in this connection that in spite of the Adjournment Motion, it is now proposed to bring in a Substantive Motion, in which case the Adjournment Motion may not be necessary. On the contrary, if a Resolution is passed in this House on the Substantive Motion, it will be embodied in a recommendation to the Central Government.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The Hon'ble Minister was very enthusiastic to grant leave before. Now, he is saying like this. There is something fishy with regard to this congressman.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—Rule 54 says :—

" (1) The Speaker, if he gives consent under rule 50 and holds that the matter proposed to be discussed is in order, shall, after the questions and before the list of business is entered upon, call the member concerned who shall rise in his place and ask for leave to move the adjournment of the Assembly ;

Provided that where the Speaker has refused his consent under rule 50 or is of opinion that the matter proposed to be discussed is not in order, he may, if he thinks it necessary, read the notice of motion and state the reasons for refusing consent or holding the motion as not being in order.

(2) If objection to leave being granted is taken, the Speaker shall request those members who are in favour or leave being granted to rise in their places, and if not less than twenty

members rise accordingly, the Speaker shall intimate that leave is granted. If less than twenty members rise, the Speaker shall inform the member that he has not the leave of the Assembly."

Here, let the objection be raised in the first instance, if he minds.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—It has not been put to the House at all. The Chair will have to put it to the house. That stage has not been reached at all. I can tell the hon'ble member that he loses nothing if it is not taken up, because there is proposal to bring a substantive Motion on the subject.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The member must ask for leave.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—That is why I have raised that point. Yesterday, it was likely to be taken up. But it was kept for to-day. So, I want the permission of the House to move the motion.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—He cannot be allowed to move the motion. He can move the motion for leave of the House; then only he will have a right to move the motion.

[Mr. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA), in the Chair.]

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any adjournment motion has to be admitted first, and then the debate has to be opened immediately. The Hon'ble Deputy Speaker announced that it has been admitted. The member concerned said that he has got a right to move it at once. The Parliamentary Affairs Minister intervened and pointed out it is not correct to move unless the leave is granted. So far as rules are concerned, what the Hon'ble Minister has said is perfectly all right. The Speaker has nothing to say in the matter, so far as the House exercises its power and the Speaker is bound by the rules. We have to consider the conditions under which the motions are put and admitted. We have to consider whether the motion has been brought regularly before the House. Yesterday there was some eagerness on the part of some members with regard to this motion. Rules are there unconnected with the grievances. There are one or two motions which I feel were duly presented even after admission. There is a certain procedure to be adopted. The Speaker's consent is necessary to make the motion, that is the first thing. The second thing is to seek the leave of the House and then discussion takes place. There is nothing to disregard the interpretation, of the Hon'ble Minister for Parliamentary Affairs. I uphold his interpretation. and the Speaker is bound by the conditions. If the conditions are favourable, the Speaker cannot come in the way. If the conditions are favourable, if the Speaker gives his consent and if the House gives leave, nothing comes in the way. But members must remember the stages it has to pass through. Therefore there is no difficulty. To the motion of thanks how many amendments are there? I think there are 184.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—What I beg to submit is that I may be permitted to move the motion for leave.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The procedure has to be better considered. What time it consumes? The member has to read and I will have to read all the motions, and it will take double the time. It is only an expenditure of time for no profit. The members are aware that all amendments can be deemed to have been moved and deemed to have been read over by the Speaker and then the debate will be opened if that is done. We can make better use of the time and I will call upon the whole list.

I will have to mention here that those who have given notice of the amendments and who are not present, they cannot say that they have moved. They will be deemed to have not moved and they will not be opened for discussion.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—We have to take leave of the House under Rule 54.

Sir, I beg to move for the leave of the House for adjournment of the proceedings of the House to discuss the issue of the re-opening of the colleges, in view of the students strike, following the anti-Hindi agitation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now I would at this stage, try to mention one other aspect which is highly relevant. It is not as soon as any notice of adjournment motion, calling attention and any other notice etc., is given that it should be taken up immediately. I have taken up the matter and the matter was also adverted to in the course of the Business Advisory Committee with the Hon'ble Chief Minister and other members on both the sides. I am trying to have the matter immediately brought up as a sort of resolution. I believe, it must have progressed very much. A draft is there. I believe before you rise for the day, I will be able to get some indication. I believe, I also spoke to the Hon'ble Whip of the Opposition; I hope there will be consent, in which case, I will put it immediately for discussion at the earliest. Immediately does not mean that it will be taken up to-day. What I am saying is such important matters do not escape my attention. Therefore, I will suggest to the House that this matter may be taken up to-morrow. Because if the resolution is more comprehensive than the limited scope available in this adjournment motion, that will be perhaps doing greather justice to the important topic in which perhaps the vast section of students, public as well as parents and the citizens are interested. It is a little complicated affair. I have my own views, which I am not going to express. But I believe if the debate is thorough some positive steps could be taken. So I suggest that this matter may be taken up to-morrow. Would that be all right?

2-30 P.M.

Sri SHARAN GOUDA INAMDAR (Jewargi).—Yesterday, the Deputy Speaker who was presiding gave consent to ask for leave to move the motion. The concerend Hon'ble Member stood up to request the Chair to introduce the motion for discussion. At the time, there was interruption. Due to incapacity to handle the situation by the Presiding Officer, the matter has not been decided yesterday. Now the

Member has only to make a request. I therefore suggest that the Member may be permitted to ask for leave to move the adjournment motion. It is a very urgent matter and thousands of students are waiting outside to know what the decision is going to be. Now all the stages have been completed and the Chair should take action under Rule 54 (2) and ascertain how many members are in support of the adjournment motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—On a clear analysis of the situation I find that I am to bear the responsibility, because if I had been here yesterday, then perhaps that little situation could have been avoided. Anyhow, it was beyond my control. Even today I must say that I have come here with a view to utilise the time to the maximum benefit of the House. Therefore, if that resolution comes up tomorrow as it is more comprehensive, nothing would be lost. The House will have to apply its mind to the resolution and say that priority should be given.

Sri SHARAN GOUDA INAMDAR.—I beg to state that there is no resolution. We do not know anything about the resolution and who is going to move it. We are discussing about a thing which is not brought before this House. Already the adjournment motion is with the Speaker and the first stage has been reached. Only the second stage has to be started. I request the Speaker to kindly allow the Member to make the request.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If it is the desire of the House, I will take up the matter immediately. I will ascertain whether the Hon'ble Member gets leave of the House or not.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಯ್ಯ (ನಂಜನಗೂಡು).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ ಹೇಳಲು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಪೆಟ್ಟರ್‌ರವರು ಒಂದು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ತಾವು ಹೌಸ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಮುಂದೆ ಭಾಷಾ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ತರಾವನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಈ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಪೋರೇಷನ್ನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿರುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗಬೇಕು, ಇದು ಅತಿ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಿಂತಲೂ ಅತಿಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿರುವುದು ಭಾಷಾ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ನಿಲುವೇನು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಭಾಷಾ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಮದ್ರಾಸು ಸರಕಾರ ಹಾಗೂ ಹೈದರಾಬಾದು ಸರಕಾರ ಅವರ ನಿಲುವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿವೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರಕಾರದವರು ತಮ್ಮ ನಿಲುವೇನು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ನಾವು ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿದ್ದೆವು. ಆದರೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರಕಾರ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಾದ ತರಾವನ್ನು ತಂದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಪಡೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ನಾವು ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಕೂಡ ಒಂದು ತರಾವನ್ನು ಸೆಕ್ರಟರಿಯವರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಈ ಭಾಷಾ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ತರಾವನ್ನು ತರಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ತಾವು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ಭಾಷಾ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯನ್ನೂ ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಗೇ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ನಾಳೆ ದಿನ ಅಮೂರ್ಗ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಭಾವನೆ.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಕಾಂಪ್ರಿಹೆನ್ಸಿವ್ ಆದ ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿದೆ. ನಾಳೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಮುಂಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲು ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದವರೂ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಲಿ ಎಂದು ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಕಾಪಿಯನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ನೋಡಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಕಾಂಪ್ರಿಹೆನ್ಸಿವ್ ಆಗಿ ಮಾಡಿ ತರಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಸಲಹೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅದರಂತೆ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯಾಗುವಂತೆ ತರಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವ ಸಲಹೆ ಇದೆ. ನಾಳೆ ದಿವಸ ಇದನ್ನು ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಇಂದು ಈಗ

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ)

ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಅಡ್‌ಜರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮೋಷನ್ನನ್ನು ತರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಷ್ಟೇನೂ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎರಡೂವರೆ ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಾಲವನ್ನು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಉಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ನಾಳೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ನನ್ನು ತರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಉಪಯೋಗ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೋಡಿ ಆಮೇಲೆ ಸಲಹೆ ಕೊಡಲಿ, ನಾಳೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಡಿಬೇಟ್ ಮಾಡೋಣ. ಅಡ್‌ಜರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮೋಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಲಿಮಿಟೆಡ್ ಸ್ಕೋಪ್ ಇದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಇದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈಗ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಅದು ಹೇಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಎಲ್ಲ ಸದಸ್ಯರೂ ನೋಡಲಿ. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಏನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೋ ನೋಡೋಣ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಕಾಪಿ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ತಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಓದಿ ನೋಡಿ. ಅಡ್‌ಜರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ತರಕೂಡದು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಭಾಷಾ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಂದಿರುವ ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ನನ್ನು ಓದಿ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ತಮಗೆ ಎಲ್ಲ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri SHARAN GOUDA INAMDAR.—Regarding Anti-Hindi agitation and language problem, these are two things. The resolution is concerned with the language, But so far as anti-Hindi agitation is concerned, so many things have happened; lathi charge and firing have taken place. We want to know whether it was not possible for the Government to control the situation without resorting to firing and lathi charge. So, these two things cannot be mixed up together. Therefore, the adjournment motion may be admitted first. If later on the resolution comes up and if matters are the same, then we will be ready to discuss them together. The object of the adjournment motion is to discuss the causes of firing and so many other things in addition to the language problem. So, this matter should not be mixed up. I request that the adjournment motion may kindly be allowed to be moved.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಅಡ್‌ಜರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮೋಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಭಾಷಾ ನಿರ್ಣಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri SHARAN GOUDA INAMDAR.—Without placing the resolution on the Table, we are not going to accept the resolution. How can we know what is it and who is going to move it?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಇದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಟೇಬಲ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಇಡುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲ. ಇವೊತ್ತು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾಳೆ ಇದನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದವರ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಪಡೆದು ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೂ ಕಾಂಪ್ರಿಹೆನ್ಸಿವ್ ಆಗಿ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾವೂ ಕೂಡ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ನೀವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಓದಿ ನೋಡಿ. ಇದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದ ಮೇಲೂ ತಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡ್‌ಜರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತಾವು ತರಬಹುದು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಆಡ್ಜೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಏನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಈ ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ನನ್ನು ಯಾರು ತರಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಇನ್ನೂ ನಿರ್ಧಾರ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದಷ್ಟೂ ಕಾಂಪ್ರಿಹೆನ್ಸಿವ್ ಆದ ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಮಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಂದು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಆಗ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿರ್ಧಾರ ಮಾಡುವವನು ನಾನಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಸಭೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ನಿರ್ಧಾರ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

Sri SHARAN GOUDA INAMDAR.—We are only concerned about the admissibility of the resolution. We request you to allow the Member to ask leave to introduce the motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We have to see whether the House gives leave or not.

If I am to ascertain whether the House grants leave or not, it must be under sub-rule (2). It requires that there should be some Member raising objection for leave being granted.

2-30 P.M.

Sri DIGAMBAR RAO B. KALMANKAR.—Before this rule 54 (2) is considered, I would submit that this matter was taken up yesterday and at that time, the Hon'ble Minister for Parliamentary Affairs did not express any opposition to the motion, that was tabled by Mr. Shettar. He even said that he would not object. My submission is that Rule 54 (2) can be applied only if an objection has been raised. We on this side have no objection for the motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The rule does not say this side or that side.

Sri DIGAMBAR RAO B. KALMANKAR.—Even Members on the other side had no objection. Therefore this sub-rule (2) will not come into play and there is no need to take the sense of the House. I suggest that Mr. Shettar may be permitted to move the adjournment motion.

Sri S. S. SHETTAR.—Yesterday, the Hon'ble Minister for Parliamentary Affairs said that he has no objection for the adjournment motion being taken up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The time allotted for the Motion of Thanks is being consumed. Time and tide wait for no man. The rule says that if any objection is raised, the Chair should put it to the House and if 20 members give their consent for leave being granted, the Speaker shall intimate that leave is granted and the other procedure will follow.

I will now put it to the House and ascertain the wishes of the House. Under rule 54 (2) I request the Members who are in favour of leave being granted to rise in their places.

[*More than 20 Members stood up*]

Since there are more than 20 members standing, I have to inform the Hon'ble member Mr. Shettar that the House is deemed to have granted leave. The debate cannot begin immediately. Rule 55 says that the motion shall be taken up one hour before the appointed time for the conclusion of the business of the day. The business of the day concludes at 6-00 P.M. Therefore the motion may be taken up at 5-00 P.M.

Sri VATAL NAGARAJ.—What happens to my adjournment motion Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The subject matter is the same. If one is admitted, the other motion will not arise.